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Earth's dominant interannual climate fluctuation: The El Niño / Southern Oscillation (ENSO)

Asymmetric: cold tongue, warm pool; ITCZ north of equator; easterly trade winds; sea level slopes up to west, thermocline down to west

Normal
- rain follows warmest SST; surface winds converge onto rainy/warm zones
- Ekman upwelling

El Niño
- Events occur at irregular intervals (2-8yr); peak around Nov-Dec; last about a year; often followed by La Niña
- Fundamentally coupled phenomenon, involving troposphere + top 300m of the tropical Pacific ocean.

Fundamentally coupled phenomenon, involving troposphere + top 300m of the tropical Pacific ocean.
Rainfall shifts → affect global weather, terrestrial ecosystems, agriculture. Weaker upwelling, altered currents → affect marine ecosystems & fisheries.
Present state of the tropical Pacific

http://www.pmel.noaa.gov/tao
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We might be headed for a weak El Niño. (But in boreal spring, it's hard to know.) Inter-model spread remains large → potential for improvement.
Key ENSO feedbacks

- Noise
- Warm pool extent
- Wind stress
- SST fluxes (evaporation, cloud shading)
- "Coupling"
- "Damping"
- "Zonal-advective & Ekman feedbacks"
- "Thermocline feedback"
- "Bjerknes feedback loops"
Coupled General Circulation Models (CGCMs) aim to integrate all of these processes.

Global ocean, atmosphere, land, ice, chemistry, biology, vegetation. Ever-increasing resolution. But still many parameterized processes.
Intrinsic Modulation of ENSO
Both historical & paleo records suggest past modulation of ENSO

ENSO has existed for thousands, perhaps millions, of years. Obscures detection of slower climate changes (decadal, global warming).
ENSO modulation in a 2000-year control simulation

NINO3 SST (°C):
running annual mean & 20yr low-pass

Wittenberg (GRL 2009)

(a) Observational reconstruction (ERSST.v3)

(b) CM2.1 PI control simulation
ENSO modulation: Is it decadally predictable?

(a) Strong ENSO

(b) Regular ENSO

(c) Weak ENSO

NINO3 SSTA, for extreme-ENSO epochs simulated by CM2.1

External forcings held fixed at 1860 values.

Add a tiny perturbation...

“Perfect-model” reforecasts:
  weakest,
  strongest,
  all 40 members

Wittenberg et al.
(J. Climate, 2014)
Best hope for long-term ENSO predictability?

NINO3 memory might last 5yr, following strong warm events.

100yr-return warm events
10th, 50th, 90th percentiles from 39 events

[Graph showing smoothed NINO3 SSTA (°C) over years since event, with 95% ranges indicated by gray.

CM2.1 simulation]
Model-Analog Forecasts

Use SST & SSH from long control runs as synthetic “libraries” of ENSO evolution. SSTA correlation skill at 6mo lead, for 15-member analog ensembles.

In the equatorial Pacific, actually outperforms the NMME initialized forecasts!

Ding et al. (JC 2018)
Climate Change
Projected surface temperature changes

*Vecchi et al. (2008)*
*Vecchi & Wittenberg (2010)*
*Collins et al. (2010)*
*Xie et al. (2010)*

**Strongest warming over land & equatorial Pacific**

**More warming in calm areas, and where winds weaken**

**Feedbacks from low clouds & ocean advection**
Projected rainfall changes

precipitation (mm/day)

Held & Soden (2006)
Vecchi & Wittenberg (2010)
DiNezio et al. (2010)
Xie et al. (2010)

Broadly: “the wet get wetter, the dry get drier”.

Over tropical oceans: “the warmer get wetter”. 
Projected upper-ocean temperature changes

DiNezio et al. (JC 2009, EOS 2010)
Collins et al. (2010)

(a) Mean of 5 CM2.1 members, 1996–2000

(b) SRES–A1B projected change by 2046–2050

Tropical ocean more stratified

Stronger, shallower, and flatter equatorial thermocline
As CO$_2$ increases:

Relative to ECT SSTs, the **warm pool** contracts.

Relative to ECT SSTs, **cold water** moves closer to the surface.
ENS0 response to increasing CO2

Simulations show interplay of intrinsic ENS0 modulation, decadal variation, nonlinear sensitivity, and regional responses to increasing CO2
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Cai et al. (2014)
Atwood et al. (2017)

Wittenberg (U.S. CLIVAR Variations, 2015)
ENSO response to increasing CO₂

Simulations show interplay of intrinsic ENSO modulation, decadal variation, nonlinear sensitivity, and regional responses to increasing CO₂
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Wittenberg (U.S. CLIVAR Variations, 2015)

CM2.1 simulation
Observed & simulated mean/ENSO SST changes

30yr–window statistics (relative to 1987–2016) for annually–smoothed SST

HadISST.v1.1 obs

Newman, Wittenberg, et al. (BAMS 2017)
Mean change is marginally detectable. ENSO change, less so.

Newman, Wittenberg, et al. (BAMS 2017)
Proxy evidence suggests that ENSO activity has waxed & waned, with significant amplification in recent decades.

Multiproxy meta-reconstruction (from corals, tree rings, lake sediments & ice cores) of 30-year running variance of 10-yr lowpass July-June annual-mean NINO3.4 SSTs.

McGregor et al. (Clim. Past, 2013)
All the models show **significant mean warming** in the 21st century. But **ENSO SSTAs** weaken in some models, strengthen in others.
CMIP5 projections (PI, 1900-99, 2000-99)

SSTA peak longitude

- Most models have too many CP events.
- < 20 (1)
- > 17 (2)

SSTA propagation direction

- Most models show too much eastward propagation.
- < 13 (2)
- > 24 (14)

No consensus on whether EP or CP El Ninos will be more likely in the future. But projected ENSO SSTAs do show more eastward propagation.

Chen et al. (JC 2017)
Competing changes in ENSO feedbacks

1. Amplifiers
   - stronger rainfall & wind stress responses to SSTAs
   - intensified thermocline, shallower mixed layer
   - weaker refresh of surface waters from below
   - weaker SST barrier for equatorial shifts of convection

2. Dampers
   - stronger evaporative & cloud-shading responses
   - weaker upwelling -> surface less connected to thermocline
   - smaller dynamic warm pool -> less room for warming

3. Ambiguous effects
   - stronger intraseasonal wind variability?

Guilyardi et al. (BAMS 2009); Vecchi & Wittenberg (WIREs CC 2010)
Collins et al. (Nature Geosci. 2010); DiNezio et al. (JC 2009; EOS 2010; JC 2012); Cai et al. (2014)

Ongoing activities with CLIVAR Working Groups,
D. Battisti, A. Atwood, M. Cane, C. Karamperidou, F.-F. Jin, J. Brown, F. Graham
Can we extrapolate ENSO projections to reality?

The "most realistic" pre-industrial ENSOs show amplification at 2xCO₂

Merryfield (JC 2006)
Vecchi & Wittenberg (WIREsCC 2010)
Opportunities for Improvement
The double-peaked El Niño

If warm pool is too far west, we get more double-peaked El Niños with western peaks that are farther west.

Present-day simulations show fewer double-peaked El Niños than pre-industrial.

Graham et al. (CD 2017)
Seasonal timing and ENSO impacts

Key archetypes of ENSO evolution

(a) Persistent El Nino
(b) Early-Terminate El Nino
(c) Resurgent La Nina
(d) Transitioning La Nina

ENSO events show diverse temporal behavior in boreal spring – e.g. persisting, terminating early, resurging, or transitioning.

This significantly affects their impacts – e.g. tornado outbreak frequency over the United States.

Lee et al. (GRL 2014; ERL 2016)
ENSO improvements with increasing resolution

Delworth et al. (2012); Vecchi et al. (JC 2014); Jia et al. (JC 2015); Wittenberg et al. (JAMES subm.)
Seasonal synchronization of ENSO

Observed events (especially strong ones) tend to peak during Oct-Dec.

GFDL-FLOR CGCM's events show little seasonal synchrony, except for the strongest events.

And its cold events are far too strong.

Flux-adjusting SST & wind stress synchronizes ENSO events to the end of the calendar year, and greatly improves the positive skewness of NINO3 SSTAs.
Seasonal cycle of east Pacific SST & rainfall

SST climatology (°C), averaged 150°W–110°W

FLOR overestimates dT/dy in the eastern equatorial Pacific during Jul-Nov.

Flux adjustment weakens this dT/dy, aiding equatorial shifts of the ITCZ and extending ENSO through to Dec.

Precip climatology (mm/day), averaged 150°W–110°W

FA weakens equatorial deep convection during Dec-Jun, but shifts the ITCZ equatorward during Jul-Nov.

FA sensitizes the northeast Pacific ITCZ to equatorial SSTAs in Jul-Nov, seasonalizing the Bjerknes feedback and synchronizing ENSO to the end of the calendar year.
TPOS2020: Proposed redesign of the Tropical Pacific Observing System

Moorings, robotic floats, satellites, ships, gliders, ...

Goals: Enhance monitoring, improve forecasts, advance understanding & models.
Summary

1. ENSO: Earth's strongest interannual climate fluctuation
   a. Remarkable progress in observing, modeling, understanding ENSO
   b. Feedbacks, impacts are multiscale, nonlinear, seasonally-dependent

2. ENSO's past & future
   a. Paleorecords suggest ENSO recently strong relative to prior 400yr
      - But ENSO SSTA amplification not yet clearly detectable in instrumental records
   b. Near term: Large multidecadal intrinsic modulation (unpredictable)
   c. Forced response of ENSO & impacts: nonlinear, regionally-dependent
   d. CMIP5 projections
      - Robust changes: mean warming, more eastward SSTA propagation & rainfall sensitivity
      - Ambiguous changes: ENSO amplitude & SSTA pattern
      - Response depends on competing changes in strong feedbacks
      - Emergent constraints: model diversity is useful!

3. Coupled GCM simulations of ENSO
   a. Biases remain
      - Equatorial cold tongue too strong, too far west
      - Double-peaked El Niño; poor seasonal synchronization
   b. But models are improving
      - ENSO patterns & teleconnections improve with atmos/ocean grid refinement
      - Flux adjustment → better climatology → seasonal synchrony of ENSO → key for impacts
   c. Need improved observational constraints for models → TPOS2020
   d. As models improve: model-analog forecasts may be useful
Reserve Slides
Next steps

1. Improve AGCM climatology & ENSO feedbacks
   a. **Moisture budget:** reduce tropical evap/rainfall; improve rainfall gradients
   b. **Surface fluxes:** bulk formulae, skin temperature, diurnal cycle
   c. **Clouds & cloud radiative feedbacks**
   d. **Off-equatorial wind stress curl** response to ENSO (precip pattern, CMT)

2. Improve OGCM climatology & ENSO feedbacks
   a. **Shoal the equatorial thermocline** (mixing, solar penetration, diurnal cycle)
   b. **Resolve TIWs** (critical during La Niña)
   c. **Mixed layer heat budget** (need obs constraints → **TPOS-2020**)

3. Improve coupled interactions
   a. **Seasonal dT/dy** in east Pacific (ENSO seasonality)
   b. **Coupled feedback** diagnostics (need obs constraints!)
   c. **Subsurface flux adjustments** (3D-FA)
Long-term memory?

Distribution of inter-event wait times suggests that NINO3 SSTA *might* have some memory beyond 5 years.

But beyond 10 years?

Even a *purely* memoryless ENSO would give occasional waits of 20 years or more, as seen in CM2.1.

Wittenberg (GRL 2009)
Epochs of unusual ENSO behavior

- weak, biennial, “Modoki” (early 1990s & 2000s)
- regular, westward propagating (1960s & 70s)
- strong, skewed, long period, eastward propagating (1980s & late 1990s)

All from a simulation with unchanging forcings!

Wittenberg et al. (J. Climate, 2014)
GFDL coupled GCM development

**CM2.1**
- A: $2.5^\circ \times 2^\circ \times L24$
- O: $1^\circ \times 0.33^\circ \times L50$

- atm\times4

**FLOR**
- A: $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ \times L32$
- O: $1^\circ \times 0.33^\circ \times L50$
- “Forecast-oriented Low Ocean Resolution” (SI forecast model)

- atm\times2

- ocn\times10

- flux-adjust SST, SSS, wind stress

**FLOR-FA**
- A: $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ \times L32$
- O: $1^\circ \times 0.33^\circ \times L50$

- Corrected surface climate

**CMIP3** workhorse & SI forecast model.

**CMIP5**: ESM2M ESM2G CM3

**CMIP6**: ESM4

**FLOR** connects many of GFDL’s newest climate models, and is used extensively for seasonal-to-interannual research and forecasts.